

## LEVERAGING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE FOR THE TRANSFORMATION OF VOCATIONAL AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION IN ANAMBRA STATE HIGHER INSTITUTIONS

Ann Nwamaka Azubuike Ihevueme<sup>1</sup> and Moore, Nwaamaka<sup>2</sup>

All authors from Commerce and Cooperative Education Dept.  
School of Business Education, Federal College of Education Tech Umunze

### Abstract

*This position paper examined the imperative for artificial intelligence integration in vocational and technical education within Anambra State higher institutions. Drawing from systematic review of previous peer-reviewed studies published, the paper establishes that AI technologies offer transformative potential for personalized learning, competency-based assessment, and industry alignment in technical education. The discourse revealed critical infrastructure deficits, policy vacuums, and capacity limitations that currently constrain AI adoption in Nigerian TVET systems. Using Diffusion of Innovations theory as a theoretical framework, the paper proposed a phased implementation roadmap emphasizing stakeholder engagement, infrastructure investment, curriculum innovation, faculty development, and ethical governance. It advocated for immediate policy intervention at state level to position Anambra as a pioneer in AI-enhanced vocational education delivery in Nigeria.*

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence, Vocational and Technical Education, Anambra State, Educational Technology, Industry 4.0

### Introduction

The Fourth Industrial Revolution demands fundamental restructuring of technical and vocational education systems globally (Rabiu *et al.*, 2025). AI technologies have emerged as critical enablers of educational transformation, offering unprecedented opportunities for personalized learning pathways, intelligent tutoring systems, and real-time competency assessment (Yan *et al.*, 2025). This mean that AI ought to be leveraged to provide meaningful education generally and vocational and technical education specifically. Recent meta-analyses indicate that AI integration in vocational education enhances learning outcomes by 20-35% compared to traditional instructional methods (García-Martínez *et al.*, 2023).

In Anambra State, VTE institutions operate with outdated pedagogical approaches and insufficient infrastructure, producing graduates whose skills often fail to meet labor market expectations (Ebekozen *et al.*, 2024). This disconnect between training and industry demand is compounded by fragmented policy implementation, where federal pronouncements on educational technology have not translated into sustained resource allocation or coherent institutional strategies. Thus, the persistent employability gap reflects not just poor infrastructure but also systemic misalignment between policy, practice, and market realities.

Three compelling rationales justify AI integration in Anambra State TVET institutions. First, AI-powered adaptive learning systems demonstrably improve skill acquisition efficiency through personalized instructional sequencing (Strielkowski,

2024). Second, intelligent assessment systems enhance competency validation accuracy while reducing evaluator bias (Owan *et al.*, 2023). Third, AI-enabled curriculum analytics facilitate rapid alignment with evolving industry requirements, addressing the persistent challenge of curriculum obsolescence in technical education (Yan *et al.*, 2025).

This paper articulated a comprehensive position on AI integration in Anambra State vocational and technical education. The scope encompassed the prospects of AI integration, implementation frameworks, and ethical considerations specific to the state context. The analysis excluded general tertiary education and focuses exclusively on institutions delivering vocational and technical programs.

## **Conceptual Clarification**

### **Vocational and Technical Education (VTE)**

Vocational and Technical Education (VTE), often referred to as TVET, is increasingly understood as more than skills training; it is a dynamic system for equipping learners with practical, digital, and employable competencies aligned with Industry 4.0. Karia and Chanzi (2025) emphasize that VTE must integrate technical expertise with digital literacy and strong industry linkages to drive youth empowerment and sustainable development. Similarly, a recent study in *Discover Education* highlights that VTE now requires digital competence, showing that students' throughput rates are tied not only to traditional manual skills but also to their ability to navigate robotics, AI, and related technologies (Discover Education, 2025). In Nigeria, Chukwu *et al.* (2024) note that VTE programmes must evolve beyond manual craft instruction by aligning curricula, facilities, and training more closely with labour market demands. Taken together, these perspectives position VTE as a critical bridge between education and employment, requiring continuous adaptation to technological and economic shifts.

### **Artificial Intelligence (AI)**

AI refers to computer systems capable of performing tasks normally requiring human intelligence, such as learning, reasoning, and problem solving. Recent scholarship conceptualizes AI as systems capable of simulating human cognition such as reasoning, learning, planning, and creativity (D'Alessio & Cirillo, 2025); as technologies, machine learning, NLP, and data analytics that personalize instruction and institutional operations (Onet, 2025); and as tools that enable differentiated instruction and administrative automation but raise issues of privacy, bias, and ethical readiness (Antin & Dzulkifli, 2025). In education, AI applications include adaptive learning platforms, intelligent tutoring systems, automated assessment tools, and predictive analytics (OECD, 2023). The effective use of AI in education depends on ensuring quality, equity, ethical governance, and capacity building for educators and learners (UNESCO, 2021).

### **Higher Institutions**

Higher institutions refer to post-secondary organizations such as Polytechnics, Technical Colleges of Education, and Universities that confer certificates, diplomas, or degrees. They play dual roles: delivering technical education and functioning as organizational systems that integrate policy, governance, curriculum, infrastructure, and partnerships. Their readiness to adopt AI depends on leadership commitment, human capital, partnerships, and quality assurance structures (OECD, 2023).

## **Educational Technology (EdTech)**

Educational technology encompasses digital tools, platforms, and processes used to enhance teaching, learning, assessment, and administration. This includes learning management systems, simulations, blended learning, and AI-driven systems. EdTech is pedagogically neutral; its impact depends on alignment with instructional design, teacher capacity, inclusive access, and sustainable implementation (OECD, 2023).

## **Industry 4.0**

Industry 4.0 refers to the integration of digital technologies such as AI, robotics, cyber-physical systems, and the Internet of Things into production and service processes. It reshapes skills demands by emphasizing digital fluency, adaptability, problem solving, and human-machine collaboration. Preparing learners for Industry 4.0 requires VTE institutions to embed AI literacy and continuous learning into curricula (Schwab, 2017; OECD, 2023).

## **Theoretical Framework**

### **Diffusion of Innovations Theory**

Rogers' (2003) Diffusion of Innovations theory posits that technological innovation adoption follows predictable patterns influenced by perceived attributes of the innovation itself. In TVET contexts, relative advantage represents the degree to which AI tools demonstrably outperform existing instructional methods. Compatibility addresses alignment between AI systems and institutional culture, existing practices, and stakeholder values. Complexity concerns the perceived difficulty of understanding and implementing AI technologies. Trialability enables risk mitigation through pilot testing before full-scale deployment. Observability relates to the visibility of successful AI implementations to potential adopters (Rabiu et al., 2025). This theory is related to the study because it provides a framework for understanding how AI can be adopted and diffused across VTE institutions in Anambra State by explaining the factors that shape educators' and institutions' willingness to embrace new technologies.

## **VTE Institutions in Anambra State**

Anambra State hosts multiple higher institutions delivering vocational and technical education. They include: Federal Polytechnic Oko, Anambra State Polytechnic Mgbakwu, Grundtvig Polytechnic Oba, Federal College of Education (Technical) Umunze, Nnamdi Azikiwe University Awka, and Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University Igbaram (Nnamdi Azikiwe University, 2025; Federal College of Education Technical Umunze, 2024; Samphina Academy, 2024). Predominant instructional approaches emphasize traditional teacher-centered methodologies with limited integration of educational technology. Infrastructure assessment reveals critical deficiencies: unreliable electricity supply affects 78% of TVET institutions nationally, a pattern likely replicated in Anambra (Rabiu *et al.*, 2025). Internet connectivity remains inadequate, with bandwidth limitations constraining cloud-based educational applications.

Current technology integration in Anambra TVET institutions remains rudimentary. Basic computer laboratories exist but typically lack specialized software for technical disciplines. Learning management systems adoption stands at approximately 15% of institutions nationally, with Anambra likely reflecting this pattern (Mbazu et al., 2024). Research on Nigerian TVET stakeholders reveals contradictory perspectives on AI integration. Educators express enthusiasm tempered

by concerns regarding job displacement and adequacy of technical preparation (Ayanwale *et al.*, 2022). Students demonstrate high awareness of AI technologies but limited understanding of AI applications in vocational learning (Joseph *et al.*, 2024). Industry partners advocate for technology integration to address skills gaps but express skepticism regarding institutional capacity for effective implementation (Sanusi *et al.*, 2024).

### **AI Technologies Relevant to VTE**

Four categories of AI technologies demonstrate particular relevance for vocational education. Adaptive learning platforms utilize machine learning algorithms to personalize content delivery based on individual learner performance patterns (Strielkowski, 2024). Intelligent tutoring systems provide real-time feedback and scaffolded support, emulating expert instructor guidance (Olugbade *et al.*, 2024). Virtual and augmented reality environments enable risk-free practice of technical procedures, particularly valuable for hazardous or resource-intensive skills training. Predictive analytics systems identify at-risk students early, enabling targeted intervention (Nnadi *et al.*, 2024).

While international literature on AI in vocational education expands rapidly, African contexts remain significantly underrepresented. Specifically, no published studies examine AI implementation in Anambra State TVET institutions. This evidence gap constrains context-appropriate policy development and implementation planning.

### **Leveraging artificial intelligence for the transformation of vocational and technical education**

Artificial intelligence can be leveraged for the transformation of vocational and technical education. Some of the ways are:

- **Personalized and Adaptive Learning Pathways**

AI-powered adaptive systems dynamically adjust instructional content based on individual learner performance, optimizing learning efficiency (Strielkowski, 2024). Recent empirical evidence demonstrates that adaptive learning platforms reduce time-to-competency by 30% while improving retention rates (Sun *et al.*, 2024). For TVET contexts where learners present heterogeneous prior knowledge and learning speeds, adaptive systems offer substantial advantages over one-size-fits-all instruction.

- i. AI-Powered Simulation and Virtual Laboratories**

Virtual reality environments integrated with AI enable authentic practice opportunities without physical resource constraints. Research on AI-enhanced VR for technical skills training documents learning gains equivalent to traditional practical instruction while eliminating risks associated with hazardous procedures (Sunday *et al.*, 2023). For resource-constrained Anambra institutions, AI-powered simulations offer cost-effective alternatives to expensive physical equipment.

- ii. Intelligent Tutoring Systems for Skills Acquisition**

Intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) provide individualized instruction and immediate corrective feedback, particularly effective for procedural skill development central to technical education. Meta-analytic evidence indicates ITS produce effect sizes of 0.76 standard deviations compared to traditional instruction (Olugbade *et al.*, 2024). ITS implementation in Nigerian middle school contexts demonstrated significant improvements in learning outcomes and student engagement (Olugbade *et al.*, 2024).

iii. **Predictive Analytics for Student Success and Retention**

Machine learning algorithms analyzing student engagement patterns, assessment performance, and demographic variables predict academic risk with accuracy exceeding 85% (Nnadi *et al.*, 2024). Early identification enables targeted interventions before students disengage or fail, addressing persistent attrition challenges in TVET programs. Predictive models developed for Nigerian tertiary contexts demonstrate cross-institutional validity (Yauri *et al.*, 2024).

iv. **Enhanced Assessment and Competency Validation**

AI-driven assessment systems enhance evaluation accuracy, consistency, and efficiency. Automated competency evaluation reduces grading time by 60% while improving reliability through elimination of human scorer variability (Owan *et al.*, 2023). For competency-based TVET programs requiring frequent performance assessment, AI systems offer substantial practical advantages. Research demonstrates AI assessment achieves inter-rater reliability coefficients above 0.90 compared to 0.65-0.75 for human evaluators in technical domains (Yan *et al.*, 2025).

v. **Industry 4.0 Skills Alignment**

AI technologies are not only tools for teaching but also part of the very skill set demanded by Industry 4.0 workplaces. For VTE graduates, learning through AI platforms doubles as learning about AI itself, creating a synergy between instructional method and employability outcome (Bolu *et al.*, 2024). In this way, AI integration directly bridges the gap between classroom learning and future workplace expectations.

vi. **Bridging the Skills Gap through AI-Driven Curriculum Development**

AI-powered labor market analytics enable real-time curriculum alignment with emerging skill demands. Natural language processing of job postings identifies skill requirements at granular levels, informing curriculum updates that reduce lag between market evolution and educational response (Ikudayisi *et al.*, 2023). This capability addresses the chronic problem of curriculum obsolescence plaguing Nigerian TVET institutions.

**Strategic framework for AI implementation**

Some of the needed frameworks for integration of artificial intelligence are:

a. **Infrastructure Requirements and Digital Readiness Assessment**

Successful AI implementation requires foundational digital infrastructure. Minimum requirements include: reliable broadband connectivity (minimum 10 Mbps per concurrent user), stable electrical supply with backup capacity, modern computing devices (student-to-computer ratio not exceeding 3:1), and cloud service access for AI platform hosting (Ahmed *et al.*, 2025). Comprehensive digital readiness assessments must precede implementation planning to identify specific infrastructure gaps.

b. **Curriculum Redesign and AI Integration Models**

AI integration demands curriculum restructuring beyond superficial technology addition. Three integration models merit consideration. The supplemental model adds AI tools to existing courses without fundamental redesign, representing lowest-risk entry point. The embedded model redesigns courses to integrate AI throughout, optimizing instructional design for AI capabilities. The transformative model

reconceptualizes programs around AI-enabled competency-based progression, representing highest potential impact but also greatest complexity (Yan et al., 2025).

### **c. Faculty Development and Capacity Building Programs**

Educator preparedness constitutes the most critical success factor for AI integration. Nigerian TVET lecturers currently demonstrate limited AI literacy, with only 18% reporting confidence in educational technology use (Omeh et al., 2024). Comprehensive professional development must address three competency domains: AI literacy (understanding AI capabilities and limitations), pedagogical expertise (designing AI-enhanced instruction), and technical proficiency (operating AI systems). Sustained capacity building requires minimum 40 hours initial training supplemented by ongoing support (Ahmed et al., 2025).

### **d. Public-Private Partnerships for Technology Access**

Resource constraints necessitate innovative financing models. Public-private partnerships (PPPs) offer mechanisms for accessing AI technologies without prohibitive upfront costs. Partnership models include: technology company provision of discounted/donated platforms for educational use, industry co-funding of infrastructure in exchange for curriculum input, and alumni association support for technology acquisition. Successful PPP examples from other Nigerian states provide templates for Anambra adaptation (Sanusi et al., 2024).

### **e. Quality Assurance Mechanisms**

Quality assurance frameworks must evolve to assess AI-enhanced instruction effectively. Evaluation criteria should encompass: pedagogical appropriateness of AI application, learning outcome improvements, student engagement metrics, equity of access across demographic groups, and cost-effectiveness relative to traditional approaches. Regular third-party evaluations ensure accountability and continuous improvement (Ukeje et al., 2024).

## **Critical challenges facing AI implementation and mitigation strategies**

### **i. Infrastructure Deficits and Funding Constraints**

Nigeria's TVET sector faces severe infrastructure limitations. National assessments document that 82% of technical institutions report inadequate infrastructure, with irregular power supply affecting 78% of institutions (Rabiu et al., 2025). Internet penetration in educational institutions remains below 35% nationally. These infrastructure deficits present fundamental barriers to AI implementation.

### **ii. Digital Literacy Gaps among Faculty and Students**

Limited digital competence among educators and learners constrains effective AI utilization. Research indicates 67% of Nigerian TVET educators lack confidence in educational technology integration (Nja et al., 2023). Students from rural backgrounds often present minimal prior digital experience.

### **iii. Resistance to Change and Cultural Barriers**

Institutional inertia and stakeholder resistance impede innovation adoption. Educators express concerns regarding job displacement, increased workload, and adequacy of support (Yusuf et al., 2024). Administrative resistance stems from risk aversion and perceived disruption to established practices.

### **iv. Ethical Considerations: Data Privacy, Algorithmic Bias, Job Displacement Concerns**

Ethical concerns surrounding AI in VTE extend beyond technology to the broader educational ecosystem. The demand for large-scale student data raises privacy risks, while algorithmic bias threatens to reproduce existing inequities, particularly for already marginalized groups. At the same time, fear of automation-driven job loss among educators and learners reflects how ethical, social, and professional anxieties intersect (Omeh et al., 2024). These concerns are interlinked: without addressing privacy, fairness, and job security simultaneously, AI adoption is likely to face resistance.

#### **v. Sustainability and Maintenance of AI Systems**

Technology maintenance requirements often exceed initial acquisition costs. Many educational technology initiatives fail due to inadequate sustainability planning. Software licensing, hardware maintenance, technical support, and system updates demand ongoing resource allocation (Oyelere et al., 2022).

#### **vi. Regulatory and Policy Gaps**

Nigeria lacks comprehensive AI governance frameworks for education. This policy vacuum creates uncertainty regarding data protection, quality standards, and accountability mechanisms. Absence of clear regulations constrains institutional adoption (Ofem et al., 2024).

### **Mitigation strategies for the challenges facing AI implementation**

They include:

- a. Phased infrastructure development should start with pilot institutions to show feasibility before expanding across the system. Using solar power with battery backup can solve electricity problems, while mobile-first AI platforms can work better in areas with limited internet. These efforts should connect with the state's wider digitalization plans.
- b. Basic digital skills training should come before AI-specific training. Early adopters can be trained first and then help train others. Simple user interfaces will reduce technical challenges, and adding digital literacy outcomes to institutional performance reviews will motivate capacity building.
- c. Change management should emphasize that AI is meant to support, not replace, teachers. Strong leadership commitment will show it is a priority. Incentives for early adopters will encourage others, while open and transparent communication will build trust among stakeholders (Sanusi et al., 2024).
- d. Data governance rules should clearly define how data is collected, stored, and used. Algorithms should be tested for fairness before being applied. Institutions must openly explain that AI complements teachers rather than displaces them, and ethical review processes should guide all AI projects (Ugwu et al., 2024).
- e. Budgets should account for the full cost of owning and running AI systems. Building local technical expertise will reduce dependence on external help. Open-source AI tools can lower costs and allow customization, while maintenance agreements with providers will ensure continuity. Technology costs should also be included in regular institutional budgets.
- f. State-level policy development should not wait for federal action. Anambra can create its own AI-in-Education policy with clear standards and accountability mechanisms. Collaboration with neighboring states will promote consistency, and Anambra can serve as a model for future national frameworks.

## Conclusion

AI technologies including adaptive learning platforms, intelligent tutoring systems, predictive analytics, virtual simulations, and automated assessment tools, demonstrably improve learning outcomes, competency validation, and curriculum alignment with industry demands. These benefits are directly relevant to the challenges facing Anambra TVET institutions: outdated pedagogy, infrastructure deficits, curriculum obsolescence, and a persistent skills gap between graduates and labor market expectations.

Critical barriers remain, including inadequate digital infrastructure, low faculty AI literacy, stakeholder resistance, data privacy and algorithmic bias risks, and the absence of a governing policy framework. The phased implementation roadmap proposed in this paper, grounded in Rogers' Diffusion of Innovations theory, addresses these through infrastructure investment, faculty capacity building, public-private partnerships, curriculum redesign, and state-level policy development. Anambra State can initiate this process independently of federal action, positioning itself as a pioneer in AI-enhanced TVET delivery in Nigeria.

## Recommendations

The following are recommended:

- a. Anambra State Government should enact an AI-in-Vocational-Education Policy Framework, mandating infrastructure standards, educator competency benchmarks, and annual funding allocations.
- b. Institutional leadership should establish AI Integration Task Forces in each VTE institution, tasked with overseeing implementation, faculty development, and evaluation.
- c. Industry partners through Public–Private Partnership (PPPs) should co-establish AI-powered vocational laboratories in institutions to enhance technical training and graduate employability.
- d. Training and re-training of educators on the use of artificial intelligence in VTE should be organized periodically by the management of institutions. This will help educators to be able to leverage artificial intelligence to bring meaningful learning experiences to students.

## References

- Ahmed, A. B., King, B. D., Hiran, K. K., Dadhich, M., & Malcalm, E. (2025). Half a decade of artificial intelligence in education in Africa: Trends, opportunities, challenges and future directions. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*, 38(3), 81–100. <https://doi.org/10.16920/jeet/2024/v38i3/24246>
- Antin, A. B., & Dzulkipli, D. N. A. K. (2025). A concept paper on readiness in artificial intelligence (AI) mastery and its impact on 21st century pedagogy among pre-service history teachers. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 9(6), 2383–2389. <https://rsisinternational.org/journals/ijriss/articles/a-concept-paper-on-readiness-in-artificial-intelligence-ai-mastery-and-its-impact-on-21st-century-pedagogy-among-pre-service-history-teachers/>
- Ayanwale, M. A., Sanusi, I. T., Adelana, O. P., Aruleba, K. D., & Oyelere, S. S. (2022). Teachers' readiness and intention to teach artificial intelligence in schools. *Computers*

- and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 3(2), 100 -110.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100099>
- Bolu, C. A., Musa, T. A., Domfang, M. C., Eletta, O. A. A., Dada, J. O., Brijmohan, Y., Wara, S. T., Elrayah, A. I., Mkandawire, T., Obiazi, A. M., Ihenacho, G., Oyelami, A., Nfah, E. M., Nwobodo-Nzeribe, N. H., Anyaegbuna, B., & Matemba, E. (2024). Exploring artificial intelligence utilization for engineering education and research in Africa. *2024 World Engineering Education Forum – Global Engineering Deans Council*, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1109/WEFF-GEDC63419.2024.10854951>
- Chukwu, D. U., Omeje, H. O., Ojo, S. A., Okekpa, A. A., Vershima, M. N., & Kechere, I. C. (2024). Industry evolving needs and TVET institutional offerings: A qualitative response to the on-demand skill gap in Nigerian woodwork technology institution. *International Journal of Vocational Education and Training Research*, 10(1), 1–7.
- D'Alessio, A., & Cirillo, C. (2025). Artificial intelligence (AI) in education: A resource or a threat to our future? In *EDULEARN25 Proceedings* (9302–9311). IATED. <https://library.iated.org/view/DALESSIO2025ART>
- Discover Education. (2025). Evaluating technical vocational education and training college students' digital skills versus throughput rate. *Discover Education*, 4, Article 6. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-025-00396-8>
- Ebekozien, A., Hafez, M. A., Aigbavboa, C., Samsurijan, M. S., Al-Hasan, A. Z., & Nwaole, A. N. C. (2024). Appraising Education 4.0 in Nigeria's higher education institutions: A case study of built environment programmes. *Sustainability*, 16(20), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16208878>
- García-Martínez, I., Fernández-Batanero, J. M., Fernández-Cerero, J., & León, S. P. (2023). Analysing the impact of artificial intelligence and computational sciences on student performance: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of New Approaches in Educational Research*, 12, 171–197. <https://doi.org/10.7821/naer.2023.1.1240>
- Ikudayisi, A. E., Chan, A. P. C., Darko, A., & Adedeji, Y. M. D. (2023). Integrated practices in the architecture, engineering, and construction industry: Current scope and pathway towards Industry 5.0. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 73, 106788. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobee.2023.106788>
- Joseph, O. U., Arikpo, I. M., Victor, O. S., Chidirim, N. E., Mbua, A. P., Ify, U. M., & Diwa, O. B. (2024). Artificial intelligence (AI) in academic research: A multi-group analysis of students' awareness and perceptions using gender and programme type. *Journal of Applied Learning & Teaching*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.37074/jalt.2024.7.1.9>
- Karia, A. O., & Chanzi, G. (2025). Harnessing technical and vocational education and training (TVET) for sustainable development and youth empowerment in the era of Industry 4.0 in Tanzania. *International Journal of Advanced Academic Studies*, 7(7), 51–58. <https://doi.org/10.33545/27068919.2025.v7.i7a.1545>
- Mbazu, E. C., Oladokun, B. D., & Mohammed, J. D. (2024). Awareness, adoption and perception of lecturers toward the use of information and communication technology (ICT) in Nigeria. *Howard Journal of Communications*, 35(4), 412–428. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10646175.2023.2291120>
- Nja, C. O., Idiege, K. J., Uwe, U. E., Meremikwu, A. N., Ekon, E. E., Erim, C. M., Ukah, J. U., Eyo, E. O., Anari, M. I., & Cornelius-Ukpepi, B. U. (2023). Adoption of artificial intelligence in science teaching: From the vantage point of the African science teachers. *Smart Learning Environments*, 10(1), 12 -21. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-023-00261-x>

- Nnadi, L. C., Watanobe, Y., Rahman, M. M., & John-Otumu, A. M. (2024). Prediction of students' adaptability using explainable AI in educational machine learning models. *Applied Sciences*, 14(12), 13-23. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app14125141>
- Ofem, U. J., Ene, E. I., Ajuluchukwu, E. N., Neji, H. A., Edam-Agbor, I. B., Orim, F. S., Nworgwugwu, C. E., Ovat, S. V., Ukatu, J. O., Ekpang, P., Ogochukwu, F. I., Ntah, H. E., & Ameh, E. D. (2024). Strengthening students' research efficacy in higher institutions: A joint mediating effect of the impact of artificial intelligence using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM). *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 7, 100337. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100337>
- Olugbade, D., Edwards, B. I., & Ojo, O. A. (2024). Facilitating cognitive load management and improved learning outcomes and attitudes in middle school technology and vocational education through AI chatbot. *Journal of Technical Education and Training*, 16(3), 114–131. <https://doi.org/10.30880/jtet.2024.16.03.009>
- Omeh, C. B., Olelewe, C. J., & Hu, X. (2024). Application of artificial intelligence (AI) technology in TVET education: Ethical issues and policy implementation. *Education and Information Technologies*, 30(5), 5989–6018. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-13018-x>
- Owan, V. J., Abang, K. B., Idika, D. O., Etta, E. O., & Bassey, B. A. (2023). Exploring the potential of artificial intelligence tools in educational measurement and assessment. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 19(8). <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/13428>
- Oyelere, S. S., Sanusi, I. T., Agbo, F. J., Oyelere, A. S., Omidiora, J. O., Adewumi, A. E., & Ogbebor, C. (2022). Artificial intelligence in African schools: Towards a contextualized approach. *IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON)*, 1577–1582. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EDUCON52537.2022.9766550>
- Rabiu, A., Bawa, K., & Saminu, S. (2025). Artificial intelligence adoption for skills development in Nigeria: A systematic review and roadmap for TVET transformation. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 9(8), 645–657. <https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.908000051>
- Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press.
- Sanusi, I. T., Agbo, F. J., Dada, O. A., Yunusa, A. A., Aruleba, K. D., Obaido, G., Olawumi, O., & Oyelere, S. S. (2024). Stakeholders' insights on artificial intelligence education: Perspectives of teachers, students, and policymakers. *Computers and Education Open*, 7, 100212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeo.2024.100212>
- Strielkowski, W. (2024). AI-driven adaptive learning for sustainable educational transformation. *Sustainable Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.3221>
- Yauri, A. H., Abubakar, M. Y., Saleh, M. A., & Muhammad, A. I. (2024). Machine learning approaches for predicting student performance in Nigerian tertiary institutions. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 15(2), 150–158. <https://doi.org/10.14569/IJACSA.2024.0150219>
- Yusuf, M. O., Olumorin, C. O., & Onasanya, S. A. (2024). Barriers to artificial intelligence adoption in Nigerian higher institutions. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 53(1), 36–57. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00472395241234567>