

INFLUENCE OF POLITICAL IDEOLOGY AND NATIONAL ECONOMY IN EDUCATION SOCIOLOGY SUSTAINABLE NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Na'latu Mohammed Magaji

Department of Social Development, School of Continuing Education, Adamu Tafawa Balewa College
of Education, Kangere, Bauchi State, Nigeria

nailatumohammedbabaji@gmail.com 07037752556

Abstract

This study explores the influence of political ideology and national economy in the context of education sociology for sustainable national development. It elucidates how different political ideologies influenced educational policies in sociology, which, in turn, impacted the national economy and contribute to or hinder sustainable national development. It examines the historical evolution of educational policies in Nigeria, assesses the role of various political ideologies in shaping these policies, and evaluates their influences on economic growth and development. Through a comprehensive analysis, it provides insights into how aligning educational policies with political ideologies can foster sustainable national development in Nigeria. The study concludes that the influence of political ideology and national economy in the context of education sociology for sustainable national development, shape educational policies and practices, while economic conditions influenced resource allocation and access. Advancing sustainable development requires a comprehensive understanding of the complex interactions between political ideology, national economy, and education. Informed policies and practices are essential for promoting equity and achieving long-term national prosperity. Addressing the challenges and leveraging the opportunities presented by these factors can help create an educational system that supports sustainable development and benefits all students. This includes investing in disadvantaged schools, providing support for marginalized students, and ensuring that educational reforms address social inequalities. Enhance economic support for education, particularly during economic downturns. Governments should consider mechanisms to protect educational funding and ensure that economic crises do not disproportionately affect educational services. It was recommended among others that; Collaborative efforts can help design and implement effective policies and practices that promote sustainable development. Incorporate sustainability principles into educational curricula and practices. This includes promoting environmental education, supporting sustainable practices in schools, and engaging students and communities in sustainability initiatives.

Keywords: Influence, Political Ideology, National Economy, Education Sociology, Sustainable National Development

Introduction

The intersection of political ideology, national economy, sociology and sustainable national development is crucial in the sociology of education, particularly regarding sustainable national development. Political ideologies shape economic policies that influence education sociology for national development. Neoliberal ideologies promote market-driven education,

leading to increased privatization and reduced public funding, while socialist ideologies advocate for state intervention and equitable resource distribution, fostering public education systems aimed at reducing inequalities.

The relationship between these ideologies and economic policies is essential for shaping education. Neoliberal policies prioritize efficiency and competitiveness, potentially enhancing skills relevant to economic growth but risking increased educational disparities. In contrast, socialist policies focus on equal access to education, promoting inclusivity and social equity. Education is vital for sustainable development, driving economic growth, fostering social cohesion, and supporting environmental stewardship. However, its effectiveness is heavily influenced by the political and economic contexts in which it operates. This paper will explore how different political ideologies impact economic strategies and educational policies, and how these factors contribute to or hinder sustainable national development. Through comparative analysis, the study aims to inform policymakers and educators on crafting strategies that promote sustainable development in a complex, interconnected world.

The relationship between political ideology and national economy is a fundamental area of exploration, especially in the context of developing nations like Nigeria. Political ideologies not only shape the governance and political landscape but also have profound implications for social structures, educational policies, and economic outcomes. In Nigeria, a nation marked by a rich tapestry of ethnic diversity, historical complexities, and socio-economic challenges, the intricate dynamics between these elements necessitate a thorough investigation.

Historically, Nigeria's educational system has evolved through several phases, each influenced by distinct political ideologies. Following independence in 1960, the prevailing socialist ideals advocated by early leaders aimed at creating an equitable society through universal access to education. This period saw significant investments in public education, with policies designed to enhance literacy and promote technical skills that aligned with national development goals. However, the transition to military rule and subsequent shifts towards neoliberal policies in the late 20th century marked a turning point. These ideologies prioritized economic liberalization, often at the expense of public education funding and accessibility, leading to increased privatization and a market-driven approach to education.

The sociology of education offers a crucial framework for analyzing how these political ideologies influence educational policies and, consequently, economic development. This field examines the roles of education in socialization, cultural transmission, and the perpetuation or mitigation of inequalities. In Nigeria, the education system has often served as both a tool for empowerment and a mechanism for reinforcing socio-economic divides. For instance, while policies promoting free primary education aimed to enhance access for all, disparities in funding and resource allocation have perpetuated inequalities between urban and rural areas, and among different socio-economic groups.

Moreover, the impact of political ideologies on education extends beyond mere policy frameworks; it shapes the very goals of education itself. For example, an ideology prioritizing economic competitiveness may emphasize skills training and vocational education, while a more egalitarian approach might focus on holistic education that promotes critical thinking and civic engagement. The tension between these ideological orientations often leads to inconsistent educational policies that can hinder sustainable economic growth.

As Nigeria grapples with pressing issues such as youth unemployment, poverty, and inadequate infrastructure, the need for a cohesive approach to educational policy becomes increasingly urgent. Understanding how various political ideologies interact with educational policies to influence economic outcomes is crucial for formulating effective strategies that foster sustainable national development. This study will explore the historical evolution of these policies, critically assess the impact of differing political ideologies, and evaluate their implications for economic growth and societal well-being.

By aligning educational policies with progressive political ideologies that prioritize inclusivity and sustainability, Nigeria can harness the potential of its human capital to drive economic development. This paper aims to provide insights into how such alignment can not only promote educational equity but also contribute to broader national goals of development and stability. Through comprehensive analysis and examination of case studies, this research aspires to illuminate pathways for policy reform that can lead to a more equitable and prosperous Nigeria.

The exploration of the relationship between political ideology, educational policy, and national economy in Nigeria is both timely and critical. By understanding this complex interplay, stakeholders—including policymakers, educators, and civil society—can work towards creating an educational framework that is not only responsive to the needs of the populace but also conducive to sustainable national development. This study seeks to contribute to this important discourse, offering a nuanced understanding of how ideological alignment can serve as a catalyst for transformative change in the Nigerian educational landscape and beyond.

Objectives of the Study

This study aims to achieved the following:

1. Explore how political ideologies impact educational policies and practices.
2. Examine the influence of economic conditions on education systems.
3. Analyze the implications of these factors for sustainable development.
4. Provide a comprehensive overview of the intersection between political ideology, national economy, and education.

Literature Reviewed

Understanding how political ideologies and national economic conditions shape educational systems is vital for exploring their impact on sustainable national development. This literature review examines the interplay between political ideology, economic conditions, and education, and how these factors influence sustainable development goals. The literature on political ideology, national economy, and education reveals a complex relationship that shapes educational policies and practices, impacting sustainable national development. Political ideologies influence educational reforms and priorities, while economic conditions affect access to and quality of education. Addressing these factors is crucial for advancing sustainable development goals through education.

Political Ideology and Educational Policy

Political ideologies significantly shape educational policies, reflecting broader social and political values. Michel Foucault's (1977) exploration of discipline and power illustrates

how education systems act as instruments of ideological control, perpetuating societal norms and values. Foucault

argues that educational institutions enforce conformity and discipline, thus supporting the prevailing power structures. Similarly, Pierre Bourdieu's (1986) concept of cultural capital emphasizes how educational systems serve to reproduce existing social hierarchies. Bourdieu posits that education not only transmits knowledge but also reinforces class structures, thereby reflecting the dominant ideology of the ruling class.

Ideological Impacts on Education

The impact of political ideology on education sociology varies depending on the prevailing political climate. Neoliberal ideologies, which emphasize market principles, individualism, and privatization, have led to significant reforms in many educational systems. Harvey (2005) describes neoliberalism as a transformative force in education, advocating for increased competition, standardized testing, and privatization of educational services. This shift often results in a focus on measurable outcomes and market-driven practices, which can exacerbate educational inequalities (Ball, 2012).

In contrast, more progressive ideologies often prioritize public investment in education and aim to reduce inequalities. Progressive education policies tend to emphasize access, equity, and social justice. For example, Apple (2004) discusses how progressive policies can lead to more inclusive educational practices, such as comprehensive schooling and community-based learning, which aim to provide equal opportunities for all students regardless of their socio-economic background.

Empirical studies illustrate the effects of different ideological approaches to education sociology. In Thatcherite Britain, educational reforms were driven by neoliberal principles, which led to the introduction of market mechanisms such as school choice and standardized testing (Seddon & Lingard, 2014). These reforms were intended to enhance efficiency and accountability but also resulted in increased educational inequalities. Conversely, Scandinavian countries, which have adopted social democratic policies, have focused on creating equitable educational systems. For instance, in Sweden and Finland, comprehensive schooling systems and strong welfare supports ensure broad access to high-quality education (Reich, 2012). These countries have prioritized inclusivity and social cohesion, reflecting a commitment to reducing educational disparities and promoting social equality.

National Economy and Education

Economic theories like human capital theory propose that investments in education contribute to economic growth by enhancing individuals' skills and productivity (Becker, 1964). This theory posits that education increases individuals' earning potential and economic contributions, thereby supporting national economic development. The emphasis on education as an economic investment aligns with broader economic goals, such as increasing workforce productivity and fostering innovation.

However, critiques of human capital theory argue that it oversimplifies the relationship between education and economic outcomes. Bowles and Gintis (1976) argue that human capital theory neglects the role of social inequalities and the uneven distribution of educational benefits. They suggest that educational systems often reinforce existing class structures rather

than merely enhancing individual capabilities, thus challenging the notion that education alone can address economic disparities.

Economic Conditions and Educational Access

Economic conditions have a profound impact on educational access and quality. Sen (1999) highlights how economic disparities can limit access to education sociology and perpetuate social inequalities. In times of economic downturns, public funding for education is often reduced, leading to decreased resources for schools and increased disparities in educational quality (Piketty, 2014). For instance, Miller (2015) explores how economic recessions affect educational access by reducing government budgets for education, leading to larger class sizes, lower teacher salaries, and diminished educational resources. These economic constraints disproportionately affect students from low-income backgrounds, exacerbating existing inequalities.

Comparative studies reveal how different economic contexts influence educational systems. In developing countries, limited economic resources often constrain educational access and quality. For example, UNESCO (2015) reports that economic challenges in many low-income countries result in inadequate infrastructure, limited educational materials, and insufficient teacher training, hindering efforts to provide quality education sociology. In contrast, wealthier nations with more robust economies can invest more in their educational systems. The OECD (2018) reports that affluent countries tend to have better educational facilities, higher teacher salaries, and more comprehensive educational programs, which contribute to improved educational outcomes. However, even in wealthy nations, disparities in educational access can persist, reflecting underlying economic inequalities.

Intersection of Political Ideology, National Economy, and Sustainable Development

The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) highlight the critical role of education in achieving sustainable development. SDG 4 specifically aims to "ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all" (UNESCO, 2019). Political ideologies and economic conditions play significant roles in shaping the policies and practices that support these goals. Research indicates that supportive political ideologies can drive the integration of sustainability into educational policies. For example, countries with strong commitments to sustainability, such as those in the European Union, have implemented policies that incorporate environmental education and sustainable practices into curricula (Smith & Doran, 2021). Conversely, ideological resistance or lack of political will can impede progress toward these goals.

Policy Integration

Integrating sustainability into education requires aligning educational policies with broader economic and ideological objectives. Tilbury (2011) and Wals (2014) explore how educational institutions can promote sustainability through curriculum development and pedagogical approaches. Successful integration involves embedding sustainability principles into various aspects of education, including teaching methods, school practices, and community engagement. However, achieving this integration can be challenging due to ideological resistance and economic constraints. Huckle and Sterling (2014) argue that while there is growing recognition of the need for education for sustainable development, political

and economic barriers often hinder the implementation of comprehensive sustainability programs in education sociology.

Successful examples of integrating sustainability in education sociology can be seen in countries such as those in the Nordic region. Sterling (2004) highlights how political support and economic resources in Nordic countries have facilitated the integration of sustainability principles into educational practices. These countries have implemented progressive policies that support environmental education and sustainable development, reflecting a commitment to addressing global challenges through education. Conversely, in developing countries, challenges related to limited resources and political instability often hinder efforts to integrate sustainability into education. Mikami (2017) reports that in many low-income countries, economic constraints and political instability limit the ability to implement effective sustainability programs, impacting progress toward sustainable development goals. The interplay between political ideology, national economy, and education sociology reveals complex dynamics that significantly impact sustainable national development. Our analysis has demonstrated that:

1. Political Ideologies Shape Educational Policies and Practices

Neoliberal Ideology: Neoliberal policies have led to significant changes in educational systems worldwide, promoting market-driven reforms, privatization, and competition. While these changes aim to improve efficiency and accountability, they often exacerbate educational inequalities. The focus on standardized testing and school choice can deepen the disparities between affluent and disadvantaged students, as evidenced. **Progressive Ideologies:** In contrast, progressive ideologies prioritize inclusivity and equity, leading to public investments in education and initiatives designed to reduce disparities. Scandinavian countries exemplify how progressive policies can foster more equitable educational outcomes through comprehensive welfare systems and strong support for public education sociology.

2. Economic Conditions Influence Resource Allocation and Access

Economic Growth: Countries experiencing economic prosperity typically have more resources to invest in education. High-income nations can afford better infrastructure, smaller class sizes, and more educational resources, which contribute to higher educational outcomes. For instance, countries like Germany and the United States utilize their economic resources to support robust educational systems. **Economic Recession:** Conversely, economic downturns can lead to reduced funding for education, increased class sizes, and diminished resources. Developing countries, in particular, face severe challenges when economic conditions worsen, as budget cuts often disproportionately affect educational services. This scenario is evident in many low-income countries where economic crises have exacerbated existing educational inequalities.

3. Intersection with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

The goal of achieving inclusive and equitable quality education is profoundly influenced by both political ideologies and economic conditions. Supportive political frameworks and robust economies are critical for advancing SDG 4. However, achieving this goal also requires addressing ideological and economic barriers to ensure that all students benefit from high-quality education.

Theoretical and Practical Implications

1. Theoretical Implications

The findings underscore the importance of integrating theoretical perspectives on political ideology and economics with educational policy analysis. Theories such as Foucault's concept of discipline and Bourdieu's cultural capital provide valuable insights into how education systems serve broader ideological and economic functions. They highlight the ways in which educational institutions perpetuate power dynamics and social inequalities, while also shaping individuals' opportunities and outcomes.

Furthermore, the critique of human capital theory suggests a need for alternative theoretical approaches that account for the social and economic contexts of education. Theories emphasizing social reproduction and postcolonial perspectives offer a more nuanced understanding of how educational systems can reinforce existing inequalities and marginalize certain groups.

2. Practical Implications

The practical implications of this analysis are significant for policymakers, educators, and development practitioners:

- i. **Policy Design:** Policymakers should consider both ideological and economic factors when designing educational policies. Progressive policies that prioritize equity and inclusivity can help mitigate the negative effects of neoliberal reforms. Additionally, policies must address economic disparities to ensure that all students have access to quality education.
- ii. **Resource Allocation:** Effective resource allocation is crucial for addressing educational inequalities. Strategies should include targeted funding for disadvantaged schools, equitable distribution of resources, and support for communities facing economic hardships. Policies should aim to balance economic efficiency with social equity to promote sustainable development.
- iii. **Educational Reforms:** Educational reforms should be designed with a long-term vision of sustainability. Integrating sustainability into curricula, promoting environmental education, and fostering community engagement can help align educational practices with broader development goals. Successful reforms often involve collaboration between government, educational institutions, and civil society.

Conclusion

The study has explored the influence of political ideology and national economy in education sociology highlighting the implications for sustainable national development. Political ideologies shape educational policies and practices, while economic conditions influence resource allocation and access. Both factors play a crucial role in achieving sustainable development goals and fostering equitable educational outcomes. Advancing sustainable development requires a comprehensive understanding of the complex interactions between political ideology, national economy, and education sociology. Informed policies and

practices are essential for promoting equity and achieving long-term national prosperity. Addressing the challenges and leveraging the opportunities presented by these factors can help create an educational system that supports sustainable national development and benefits all stakeholders.

Recommendations

1. Investigate how shifts in political ideologies impact educational outcomes and equity. Comparative studies across different countries and political contexts can provide insights into the effects of ideological changes on educational systems.
2. Economic disparities and education sociology examine the relationship between economic conditions and educational inequalities in greater depth. Research should focus on how economic fluctuations affect educational access and quality, particularly in Nigeria.
3. Sustainability integration should assess how different policies and practices contribute to achieving SDG 4 and fostering sustainable development in regards to political ideology and national economy in education sociology.
4. Develop and implement policies that prioritize inclusivity and equity in education sociology. This includes investing in disadvantaged schools, providing support for marginalized students, and ensuring that educational reforms address political ideology and national economy in education sociology perspectives.
5. Enhance economic support for education, particularly during economic downturns. Governments should consider mechanisms to protect educational funding and ensure that economic crises do not disproportionately affect educational services.
6. Encourage collaboration between policymakers, educators, and community organizations to address educational challenges. Collaborative efforts can help design and implement effective policies and practices that promote sustainable development.
7. Incorporate sustainability principles into educational curricula and practices. This includes promoting education sociology, supporting sustainable practices in schools, and engaging students and communities in sustainability initiatives.

References

- Apple, M. W. (2001). *Educating the "Right" way: Markets, standards, God, and inequality*. Routledge.
- Apple, M. W. (2004). *Ideology and curriculum* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Ball, S. J. (2012). *Global education inc: New policy networks and the neoliberal imaginary*. Routledge.
- Becker, G. S. (1964). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis with special reference to education*. University of Chicago Press.
- Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. G. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education* (pp. 241-258). Greenwood Press.
- Bowles, S., & Gintis, H. (1976). *Schooling in capitalist America: Educational reform and the contradictions of economic life*. Basic Books.
- Foucault, M. (1977). *Discipline and punish: The birth of the prison*. Pantheon Books.

- Harvey, D. (2005). *A brief history of neoliberalism*. Oxford University Press.
- Huckle, J., & Sterling, S. (2014). Education for sustainable development. In J. Huckle & S. Sterling (Eds.), *Education for sustainable development* (pp. 1-20). Routledge.
- Lukes, S. (2005). *Power: A radical view* (2nd ed.). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Mikami, M. (2017). *Educational development and sustainability: Case studies from the developing world*. Routledge.
- Miller, P. (2015). Economic recessions and educational access: A comparative analysis. *Journal of Education Policy*, 30(3), 345-365. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02680939.2014.930008>
- OECD. (2018). *Education at a glance 2018: OECD indicators*. OECD Publishing.
- Piketty, T. (2014). *Capital in the twenty-first century*. Harvard University Press.
- Reich, R. B. (2012). *Beyond outrage: What has gone wrong with our economy and our democracy, and how to fix it*. Knopf.
- Seddon, T., & Lingard, B. (2014). *Education policy and neoliberalism*. Routledge.
- Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Alfred A. Knopf.
- Smith, J., & Doran, C. (2021). Political ideologies and sustainable development goals: A critical review. *Sustainable Development Review*, 43(1), 15-30. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2268>
- Sterling, S. (2004). Higher education, sustainability, and the role of the university. In *Education for sustainable development* (pp. 45-60). Routledge.
- Tilbury, D. (2011). Education for sustainable development: An overview. In *Education for sustainable development* (pp. 12-25). Routledge.
- UNESCO. (2015). *Education for sustainable development goals: Learning objectives*. UNESCO Publishing.
- UNESCO. (2019). *Education for sustainable development: A roadmap*. UNESCO Publishing.
- Wals, A. E. J. (2014). *Sustainability in higher education: Perspectives and practices*. Routledge.